

μ SR evidence for the U(1) quantum spin liquid ground state in the triangular antiferromagnet YbMgGaO₄

Yuesheng Li,^{1,2,*} Devashibhai Adroja,^{3,4} Pabitra K. Biswas,³ Peter J. Baker,³ Qian Zhang,¹
Juanjuan Liu,¹ Alexander A. Tsirlin,² Philipp Gegenwart,² and Qingming Zhang^{1,5,†}

¹*Department of Physics, Renmin University of China, Beijing 100872, P. R. China*

²*Experimental Physics VI, Center for Electronic Correlations and Magnetism,
University of Augsburg, 86159 Augsburg, Germany*

³*ISIS Pulsed Neutron and Muon Source, STFC Rutherford Appleton Laboratory,
Harwell Campus, Didcot, Oxfordshire, OX11 0QX, United Kingdom*

⁴*Highly Correlated Matter Research Group, Physics Department,
University of Johannesburg, PO Box 524, Auckland Park 2006, South Africa*

⁵*Collaborative Innovation Center of Advanced Microstructures, Nanjing 210093, P. R. China*
(Dated: July 13, 2016)

Muon spin relaxation and rotation (μ SR) experiments on single crystals of the structurally perfect triangular antiferromagnet YbMgGaO₄ indicate the absence of both static long-range magnetic order and spin freezing down to 0.048 K in zero field. Below 0.4 K, the μ^+ spin relaxation rates, which are proportional to the dynamic correlation function of the Yb³⁺ spins, exhibit temperature-independent plateaus. Same behavior is revealed by temperature-independent local susceptibilities extracted from the Knight shifts of the μ^+ spin rotation frequencies under a transverse field of 20 Oe. All these μ SR results unequivocally support the formation of a gapless U(1) quantum spin liquid ground state in the triangular antiferromagnet YbMgGaO₄.

PACS numbers: 75.10.Kt, 75.40.Gb, 76.75.+i

Introduction.—Antiferromagnetically coupled ($J > 0$) spins on a perfect geometrically frustrated lattice, such as the triangular or kagome lattices, can preserve strong fluctuations and evade long-range order or spin freezing even at $T \ll J$. They reveal exotic phases characterized by interesting properties, such as fractionalized spin excitations, intrinsic topological order and gapless excitations without symmetry breaking. These new phases have been proposed as quantum spin liquids (QSL) [1–3]. The spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ triangular Heisenberg antiferromagnet, initially believed to host a resonating-valence-bond QSL ground state (GS) [4–6], develops in fact the 120°-type magnetic order [7–11]. However, this order is very fragile and can be melted by perturbations, such as next-nearest-neighbor couplings [12, 13], spatially anisotropic interactions [14–16], and bond randomness [17]. Theoretical studies found that ring couplings destroy long-range order as well, and trigger the formation of a U(1) QSL GS with a spinon Fermi surface [18–20]. On the other hand, relevant experimental systems, κ -(BEDT-TTF)₂Cu₂(CN)₃ [21] and EtMe₃Sb[Pd(dmit)₂]₂ [22], revealed linear temperature dependence of the heat capacity, in contrast to $C_v \sim T^{2/3}$ predicted for the U(1) QSL [18].

Recently, our group reported a new structurally perfect rare-earth triangular antiferromagnet YbMgGaO₄ [23, 24]. Unlike the majority of QSL candidates, YbMgGaO₄ is free from magnetic defects [25–29], spatial anisotropy [29–31], and antisymmetric Dzyaloshinsky-Moriya anisotropy [32, 33]. Its magnetic heat capacity reveals the $C_v \sim T^{2/3}$ behavior




FIG. 1. (Color online) Crystal structure of YbMgGaO₄ around the effective spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ triangular layer of Yb³⁺. The implanted μ^+ is likely to stop near O1²⁻ and O2²⁻, and probes the local spin dynamics of the triangular QSL.

with almost zero residual entropy down to ~ 0.06 K [23] compatible with the triangular U(1) QSL GS [18]. The spin susceptibility of a U(1) QSL is expected to approach a constant value as the temperature goes down to zero [18–20]. While the divergent nature of the bulk static susceptibility of YbMgGaO₄ was measured down to 0.48 K [23], this experimental temperature range was certainly not low enough to probe GS properties in a system, where J_0 is as low as 1.5 K [24]. In the following, we fill this gap by probing YbMgGaO₄ down to 0.048 K, and provide evidence for the U(1) QSL GS.

Weak magnetic couplings between the rare-earth Yb³⁺

spins render experimental probe of the GS extremely challenging. While nuclear magnetic resonance requires an external field on the order of 1 T that inevitably perturbs such a system, inelastic neutron scattering can be performed in zero field, but fails to detect inelastic signal at transfer energies below 0.1 meV, owing to the contamination by the elastic signal. In this respect, muon spin relaxation and rotation (μ SR) is an ideal technique that can be performed in true zero field (ZF). ZF- μ SR is an extremely sensitive probe detecting tiny internal fields on the order of 0.1 G. The μ SR time window can measure magnetic fluctuation rates in the range from 10^4 to 10^{12} Hz [34, 35].

In this Letter, we report a comprehensive μ SR investigation of the GS spin dynamics of YbMgGaO₄ using single crystals. Neither the oscillation signal nor characteristic recovery of the polarization to 1/3 is observed in the ZF measurements with the incident μ^+ polarization perpendicular and parallel to the c -axis down to 0.048 K and 0.066 K, respectively, thus ruling out any static uniform or random field exceeding 0.9 Oe. Both the Knight shift of the μ^+ spin rotation frequency ($\propto$ local spin susceptibility) measured under a transverse field (TF) of 20 Oe and the μ^+ spin relaxation rate ($\propto$ spin dynamic correlation function) measured in ZF/TF exhibit a plateau below 0.4 K. These observations strongly suggest that a gapless U(1) QSL GS is formed in YbMgGaO₄.

Experimental technique.—Large single crystals (~ 1 cm) of YbMgGaO₄ were grown by the floating zone technique [24]. The high quality of the single crystals was confirmed by X-ray diffraction showing narrow reflections with $\Delta(2\theta) \sim 0.04^\circ$ [36]. The crystal orientations were determined by Laue x-ray diffraction. The crystals were cut into slices along both c -axis and ab -plane with a homogeneous thickness of ~ 1 mm. Mosaics of slices along the c -axis (S1) and the ab -plane (S2) were mounted on two silver sample holders [36]. The μ SR data were collected at the ISIS pulsed muon facility, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, U.K on both samples (S1 and S2) between 0.05 K and 4 K using dilution refrigerators. Additional data between 2 K and 50 K were collected by transferring the sample to a ^4He cryostat [37]. The SI units are used, and $\langle \rangle$ represents a thermal and sample average.

Absence of spin freezing.—Implanted muons are very sensitive to local magnetic fields induced by the neighboring Yb³⁺ spins (Fig. 1) [36]. Therefore, ZF- μ SR is the best tool to detect long-range magnetic order or spin freezing.

Our ZF and longitudinal-field (LF) data (Fig. 2 and 3a) are well fitted to a stretched exponential relaxation function:

$$A^{\text{ZF/LF}} = A_0 \exp[-(\lambda t)^\beta] + B_{\text{ZF}}. \quad (1)$$

The raw TF data (Fig. 3b) are well fitted to a stretched exponential relaxation function with damped oscillations




FIG. 2. (Color online) Selected ZF- μ SR signals (asymmetry vs time, background-subtracted) with the incident beam a. perpendicular ($\perp$) and b. parallel ($\parallel$) to the c -axis. The colored lines are the corresponding fits to the data using Eq. (1). The insets show relevant experimental geometries.

tions [37, 38]:

$$A^{\text{TF}} = A_0 \exp[-(\lambda t)^\beta] \cos(\omega t) + B_g \cos(\omega_0 t) + B_{\text{TF}}. \quad (2)$$

Here, $A_0 \sim 0.2$ is the initial asymmetry (weakly temperature- and field-dependent), λ is the μ^+ spin relaxation rate, and β is the stretching exponent. The coefficients $B_{\text{ZF}} \sim 0.13$, $B_g \sim 0.12$, and $B_{\text{TF}} \sim 0.02$ are background constants representing muons that missed the sample (B_{ZF}), missed the sample but stayed in the TF or stopped in the Ag-holder (B_g), and missed both the sample and the TF (B_{TF}). The frequencies $\omega = 2\pi\gamma_\mu B_{\text{loc}}$ and $\omega_0 = 2\pi\gamma_\mu B_0$ are caused by the local field B_{loc} and by the external TF B_0 , respectively, and $\gamma_\mu = 135.5$ MHz/T is the μ^+ gyromagnetic ratio.

The absence of spin freezing in YbMgGaO₄ is supported by the following observations:

- i) The ZF asymmetries decrease continuously (see Fig. 2) without showing oscillations in the full time window, 0–20 μ s. This continuous decrease is observed down to 0.048 K and 0.066 K with the incident μ^+ polarization perpendicular and parallel to the c -axis, respectively [36], suggesting that no static uniform local field is formed.
- ii) The ZF relaxations also lack a recovery of the polarization to 1/3, suggesting the absence of static random fields (no spin-glass-like freezing) [39, 40].
- iii) The stretching exponent β gradually decreases from ~ 1 at high temperatures ($T \geq 4$ K $\gg J_0$) down to a constant value of ~ 0.6 at the lowest temperatures ($T \sim 0.1$ K $\ll J_0$), see the inset of Fig. 4a. In contrast, for a spin glass one expects that β decreases from 1 at high temperatures to 1/3 at the spin freezing temperature [41, 42].
- iv) The ZF μ^+ spin relaxation rate λ^{ZF} increases by 50 % upon cooling from $T \gg J_0$ ($\lambda^{\text{ZF}} \sim 0.2 \mu\text{s}^{-1}$) down to $T \ll J_0$ ($\lambda^{\text{ZF}} \sim 0.3 \mu\text{s}^{-1}$), see Fig. 2 and Fig. 5. This indicates only a weakly slowing down of




FIG. 3. (Color online) a. Selected LF- μ SR signals (background-subtracted) at 0.07 K. The colored lines are fits to the data using Eq. (1). b. Selected raw TF- μ SR signals measured in the TF field of 20 Oe. The colored lines are fits to the data using Eq. (2). The insets show the experimental geometries.

the Yb^{3+} spin fluctuations [38, 43, 44], whereas in a spin glass the relaxation rate will typically increase by several orders of magnitude below the freezing point ($\lambda \sim 1 - 20 \mu\text{s}^{-1}$) [39, 40, 44].

Our observations indicate that the relatively strong phase-coherent quantum fluctuations of Yb^{3+} spins survive at low temperatures. Upon changing the temperature from above 4 K to below 0.4 K and suppressing the energy of thermal fluctuations by at least one order of magnitude, about 2/3 of the total fluctuations at $T > 4$ K are retained due to the strong geometrical frustration [1]. The spin relaxation rate λ^{ZF} saturates below $T_s \simeq 0.4$ K (Fig. 5). This characteristic temperature of 0.4 K is nearly the same as in other QSL candidates [45–49] and, remarkably, quite high on the relative energy scale of YbMgGaO_4 ($T_s/J_0 \sim 0.27$). We suggest that quantum fluctuations have paramount effect below this temperature, regardless of the absolute scale of the exchange couplings J_0 .

The upper limit of local static uniform or random fields can be estimated as $(\langle B_{\text{loc}}^{ab} \rangle + \langle B_{\text{loc}}^c \rangle)/2 < 0.9$ Oe down to 0.048 K and $\langle B_{\text{loc}}^{ab} \rangle < 0.9$ Oe down to 0.066 K [36].

Knight shift.—The TF- μ SR time spectra are shown in Fig. 3b for the TF of 20 Oe with the incident μ^+ polarization parallel to the c -axis. The frequency of the muon spin rotation is proportional to the local field in the ab -plane, $\omega = \gamma_\mu B_{\text{loc}}^{ab}$, and the Knight shift should be proportional to the static $\mathbf{q} = 0$ susceptibility χ ,

$$K_{ab} = \frac{\omega - \omega_0}{\omega_0} = \frac{C_{\mu-\text{Yb}} \times \chi_{ab}}{4\pi N_A \mu_B}, \quad (3)$$

where $C_{\mu-\text{Yb}} \sim 1.4(6)$ kOe/ μ_B represents the effective dipole magnetic coupling constant between the implanted μ^+ spins and the Yb^{3+} spins [48]. N_A and μ_B are Avogadro's constant and Bohr magneton, respectively. As the temperature goes down, the Knight shift follows the




FIG. 4. (Color online) a. LF dependence of the μ^+ spin relaxation rate, $\lambda_{||}^{\text{LF}}(H)$, at 0.07 K. The dotted line represents the fit to the $\lambda_{||}^{\text{LF}}$ using Eq. (5) ($x = 0$), and the solid line is the fit using Eq. (6) with $x = 0.66(5)$. Inset: temperature dependence of the stretching exponents, β . b. Temperature dependence of the Knight shift obtained from the TF- μ SR measurements and from the bulk static susceptibility measured under 100 Oe down to 0.48 K in the field applied along the ab -plane.

bulk static susceptibility down to 0.48 K (see Fig. 4b), which is the lowest temperature of the susceptibility measurement. Below 0.4 K, the Knight shift saturates at a constant value suggesting the zero-temperature spin susceptibility of $\sim 3.5 \text{ cm}^3/\text{mol Yb}^{3+}$, which is consistent with the U(1) QSL GS with a spinon Fermi surface [18].

Muon spin relaxation rate.—Spin relaxation rates λ provide further insight into the GS spin dynamics of the system, because dynamic local magnetic field is induced by the effective spin-1/2 moment of Yb^{3+} on the triangular lattice. The ZF- μ^+ spin relaxation rate can be expressed as [38, 43, 44]:

$$\lambda^{\text{ZF}} = \gamma_\mu^2 \int_0^\infty \langle \mathbf{B}_{\text{loc}}^\perp(t) \cdot \mathbf{B}_{\text{loc}}^\perp(0) \rangle dt \propto S_{\omega \rightarrow 0}^\perp, \quad (4)$$

where $S_{\omega \rightarrow 0}^\perp = \int_0^\infty \langle \mathbf{s}_i^\perp(t) \cdot \mathbf{s}_i^\perp(0) \rangle dt$, is the static spin structure factor with the sum over $\mathbf{q}$. It is fully compatible with the static susceptibility at $\mathbf{q} = 0$ [50].

At high temperatures ($T > 4$ K), the μ^+ spin relaxations (Fig. 2) can be well fitted by Eq. (1) with a stretching exponent $\beta \sim 1$ (see the inset of Fig. 4), indicating a concentrated spin system (spin-1/2 triangular lattice of Yb^{3+}) with very fast spin fluctuations [39, 40]. In this case, a Gaussian distribution of local magnetic fields is expected with the width $\Delta \sim \gamma_\mu \sqrt{\langle B_{\text{loc}}^2 \rangle}$ and $\langle B_{\text{loc}} \rangle \sim 0$. In the high- T (mean-field) limit, the Yb^{3+} spin fluctuation rate can be estimated as $v = \sqrt{z} J_0 s / \hbar \sim 4 \times 10^{10} \text{ Hz}$ [40], where $z = 6$ is the coordination number.

Above 4 K, experimental μ^+ spin relaxation rates, both $\lambda_{||}^{\text{ZF}}$ and $\lambda_{\perp}^{\text{ZF}}$ with the incident μ^+ polarization parallel and perpendicular to the c -axis, reach temperature-independent values of 0.185(2) and 0.211(1) μs^{-1} , respec-




FIG. 5. (Color online) Temperature dependence of the μ^+ spin relaxation rates from the ZF and TF measurements and from the bulk static susceptibilities measured in the applied field of 100 Oe.

tively (see Fig. 5). Using Eq. (5) with $H = 0$:

$$\lambda(T > 4 \text{ K}, H) = \frac{2\Delta^2\nu}{\nu^2 + (\mu_0 H \gamma_\mu)^2}, \quad (5)$$

we can estimate the distribution width of the local magnetic fields, $\Delta \sim 6 \times 10^7 \text{ Hz} \ll \nu$, confirming the fast spin fluctuation limit [40, 44].

As the temperature goes down, all of the μ^+ spin relaxation rates gradually increase by about 50 % compared to the high- T limit and get saturated below 0.4 K (see Fig. 5). These observations indicate the slowing down of spin fluctuations and the development of spin correlations. At the lowest temperature of our measurement, $T \sim 0.07 \text{ K}$, $\lambda_{\parallel}^{\text{LF}}(H)$ does not follow the Eq. (5) (see Fig. 4a), suggesting that the spin dynamic autocorrelation function $S(t)$ should take a general form, $S(t) \sim (\tau/t)^x \exp(-\nu t)$, instead of the simple exponential form ($x = 0$) [38, 43, 45], where τ and $1/\nu$ are the early and late time cutoffs, respectively, and x can be defined as a critical exponent [51].

A more general expression for the μ^+ spin relaxation rate can be obtained from both semi-classical [43] and full quantum [52] treatments,

$$\lambda(H) = 2\Delta^2\tau^x \int_0^\infty t^{-x} \exp(-\nu t) \cos(2\pi\mu_0\gamma_\mu H t) dt. \quad (6)$$

For a spin system at $T \gg J_0$, the μ^+ relaxation rates take the simple form of Eq. (5). On the other hand, at 0.07 K $\lambda_{\parallel}^{\text{LF}}(H)$ is much better described by Eq. (6) with $x = 0.66(5)$ (see Fig. 4a), suggesting the onset of long-time spin correlations. At $T < 0.4 \text{ K}$, the stretching exponent β approaches a constant value of ~ 0.6 , indicating a distribution of the μ^+ spin relaxation rates.

Phase diagram and conclusions.—Temperature evolution of both bulk static susceptibilities and μ^+ spin relaxation rates reveals several distinct regimes of

YbMgGaO_4 , as shown on Fig. 5. At $T > 4 \text{ K}$, the susceptibilities follow the Curie-Weiss law [24], and the μ^+ spin relaxation rates are constants, as typical for the paramagnetic regime [38, 40, 46–49]. The spins are still short-time correlated with $S(t) \sim \exp(-\nu t)$. This high-temperature phase is compatible with the classical paramagnet. As the temperature goes down ($0.4 \text{ K} < T < 4 \text{ K}$), the spin susceptibilities show an unconventional critical behavior $\chi \sim T^{-1/3}$, and the μ^+ spin relaxation rates increase by 50% following the uniform spin susceptibilities (Fig. 5).

As the temperature is decreased even further ($T < 0.4 \text{ K}$), the μ^+ spin relaxation rates approach constant values again (Fig. 5). This behavior appears to be generic for frustrated magnets [38, 40, 46–49]. The temperature-independent μ^+ spin relaxation rates suggest a constant spin correlation $S_{\omega \rightarrow 0}$ with the sum over $\mathbf{q}$, which is consistent with the triangular U(1) QSL GS [18–20]. Furthermore, the Yb^{3+} spins on the triangular lattice become long-time correlated, $S(t) \sim (\tau/t)^x \exp(-\nu t)$, with $x = 0.66(5)$.

In conclusion, we performed a comprehensive study of spin dynamics in the frustrated antiferromagnet YbMgGaO_4 by μSR measurements using the high-quality single crystals along both c -axis and ab -plane. No static uniform or random field is detected ($\langle B_{\text{loc}} \rangle < 0.9 \text{ Oe}$), indicating the absence of spin freezing ($\langle \mathbf{S}_i \rangle \sim 0$) down to at least 0.048 K. Below 0.4 K, both local susceptibility (Knight shift) and spin correlation function reach constant values. Long-time Yb^{3+} spin correlations are developed in this temperature range. Combined with the heat-capacity data [23], our observations provide compelling evidence for the formation of gapless U(1) QSL GS in the triangular antiferromagnet YbMgGaO_4 .

The concept of the U(1) QSL has been applied to a gamut of systems, from spin-ice pyrochlores [53, 54] to high-temperature superconductors [55], but experimental observation of this state remains elusive. Spin liquids in the organic charge-transfer salts with the frustrated triangular geometry deviate from the anticipated U(1) behavior [18, 21, 22]. In contrast, YbMgGaO_4 displays several phenomenological signatures of this state: i) the absence of long-range magnetic order and spin freezing; ii) the constant magnetic susceptibility at low temperatures; iii) the $C_v \simeq T^{2/3}$ power-law behavior of the specific heat [23]. Our findings pave the way for observing further emergent properties of the triangular U(1) QSL, including the $\kappa \simeq T^{1/3}$ behavior of thermal conductivity and violation of the Wiedemann-Franz law [19, 56], the power-law optical conductivity inside the Mott gap [57], and surface plasmons driven by spinons [58]. Exact origin of the U(1) QSL GS of YbMgGaO_4 is also of interest. In contrast to the organic charge-transfer salts, where long-range magnetic order is destabilized by ring exchange, spin-orbit coupling and the ensuing magnetic anisotropy are the most likely effects that trigger strong frustration in this material [59].

Acknowledgment—We thank Gang Chen for confirming that the temperature-independent $S_{\omega \rightarrow 0}$ at low temperatures is consistent with the U(1) QSL GS. We thank Yipeng Cai and Adrian Hillier for helpful discussion. This work was supported by the NSF of China and the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (973 projects: 2012CB921701). Y.S.L. was supported by the start-up funds of Renmin University of China. The work in Augsburg was supported by German Federal Ministry for Education and Research through the Sofja Kovalevskaya Award of Alexander von Humboldt Foundation. Q.M.Z. was supported by the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, and by the Research Funds of Renmin University of China.

* yuesheng.man.li@gmail.com

† qmzhang@ruc.edu.cn

- [1] L. Balents, “Spin liquids in frustrated magnets,” *Nature* **464**, 199–208 (2010).
- [2] P. A. Lee, “An end to the drought of quantum spin liquids,” *Science* **321**, 1306–1307 (2008).
- [3] X.-G. Wen, *Quantum field theory of many-body systems: from the origin of sound to an origin of light and electrons* (Oxford University Press on Demand, 2004).
- [4] P. W. Anderson, “Resonating valence bonds: A new kind of insulator?” *Mater. Res. Bull.* **8**, 153–160 (1973).
- [5] P. W. Anderson, “The resonating valence bond state in La_2CuO_4 and superconductivity,” *Science* **235**, 1196–1198 (1987).
- [6] G. Baskaran, Z. Zou, and P.W. Anderson, “The resonating valence bond state and high- T_c superconductivity: A mean field theory,” *Solid State Comm.* **63**, 973–976 (1987).
- [7] R.R.P. Singh and D. A. Huse, “Three-sublattice order in triangular-and kagomé-lattice spin-half antiferromagnets,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **68**, 1766 (1992).
- [8] P. Sindzingre, P. Lecheminant, and C. Lhuillier, “Investigation of different classes of variational functions for the triangular and kagomé spin-1/2 Heisenberg antiferromagnets,” *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 3108 (1994).
- [9] B. Bernu, P. Lecheminant, C. Lhuillier, and L. Pierre, “Exact spectra, spin susceptibilities, and order parameter of the quantum Heisenberg antiferromagnet on the triangular lattice,” *Phys. Rev. B* **50**, 10048 (1994).
- [10] L. Capriotti, A. E. Trumper, and S. Sorella, “Long-range Néel order in the triangular Heisenberg model,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **82**, 3899 (1999).
- [11] Z. Weihong, R. H. McKenzie, and R.R.P. Singh, “Phase diagram for a class of spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg models interpolating between the square-lattice, the triangular-lattice, and the linear-chain limits,” *Phys. Rev. B* **59**, 14367 (1999).
- [12] R. Kaneko, S. Morita, and M. Imada, “Gapless spin-liquid phase in an extended spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ triangular Heisenberg model,” *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **83**, 093707 (2014).
- [13] P.H.Y. Li, R. F. Bishop, and C. E. Campbell, “Quasiclassical magnetic order and its loss in a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ Heisenberg antiferromagnet on a triangular lattice with competing bonds,” *Phys. Rev. B* **91**, 014426 (2015).
- [14] A. E. Trumper, “Spin-wave analysis to the spatially anisotropic Heisenberg antiferromagnet on a triangular lattice,” *Phys. Rev. B* **60**, 2987 (1999).
- [15] S. Yunoki and S. Sorella, “Two spin liquid phases in the spatially anisotropic triangular Heisenberg model,” *Phys. Rev. B* **74**, 014408 (2006).
- [16] T. Ohashi, T. Momoi, H. Tsunetsugu, and N. Kawakami, “Finite temperature Mott transition in Hubbard model on anisotropic triangular lattice,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 076402 (2008).
- [17] K. Watanabe, H. Kawamura, H. Nakano, and T. Sakai, “Quantum spin-liquid behavior in the spin-1/2 random Heisenberg antiferromagnet on the triangular lattice,” *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.* **83**, 034714 (2014).
- [18] O. I. Motrunich, “Variational study of triangular lattice spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ model with ring exchanges and spin liquid state in $\kappa\text{-(ET)}_2\text{Cu}_2(\text{CN})_3$,” *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 045105 (2005).
- [19] S.-S. Lee and P.A. Lee, “U(1) gauge theory of the Hubbard model: Spin liquid states and possible application to $\kappa\text{-(BEDT-TTF)}_2\text{Cu}_2(\text{CN})_3$,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95**, 036403 (2005).
- [20] O. I. Motrunich, “Orbital magnetic field effects in spin liquid with spinon Fermi sea: Possible application to $\kappa\text{-(ET)}_2\text{Cu}_2(\text{CN})_3$,” *Phys. Rev. B* **73**, 155115 (2006).
- [21] S. Yamashita, Y. Nakazawa, M. Oguni, Y. Oshima, H. Nojiri, Y. Shimizu, K. Miyagawa, and K. Kanoda, “Thermodynamic properties of a spin-1/2 spin-liquid state in a κ -type organic salt,” *Nature Phys.* **4**, 459–462 (2008).
- [22] S. Yamashita, T. Yamamoto, Y. Nakazawa, M. Tamura, and R. Kato, “Gapless spin liquid of an organic triangular compound evidenced by thermodynamic measurements,” *Nature Comm.* **2**, 275 (2011).
- [23] Y. Li, H. Liao, Z. Zhang, S. Li, F. Jin, L. Ling, L. Zhang, Y. Zou, L. Pi, Z. Yang, *et al.*, “Gapless quantum spin liquid ground state in the two-dimensional spin-1/2 triangular antiferromagnet YbMgGaO_4 ,” *Scientific Reports* **5** (2015).
- [24] Y. Li, G. Chen, W. Tong, L. Pi, J. Liu, Z. Yang, X. Wang, and Q. Zhang, “Rare-earth triangular lattice spin liquid: a single-crystal study of YbMgGaO_4 ,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **115**, 167203 (2015).
- [25] S.-H. Lee, H. Kikuchi, Y. Qiu, B. Lake, Q. Huang, K. Habicht, and K. Kiefer, “Quantum-spin-liquid states in the two-dimensional kagome antiferromagnets $\text{Zn}_x\text{Cu}_{4-x}(\text{OD})_6\text{Cl}_2$,” *Nature Mater.* **6**, 853–857 (2007).
- [26] D. E. Freedman, T. H. Han, A. Prodi, P. Müller, Q.-Z. Huang, Y.-S. Chen, S. M. Webb, Y.S. Lee, T.M. McQueen, and D.G. Nocera, “Site specific x-ray anomalous dispersion of the geometrically frustrated kagome magnet, herbertsmithite, $\text{ZnCu}_3(\text{OH})_6\text{Cl}_2$,” *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* **132**, 16185–16190 (2010).
- [27] Y. Li and Q. Zhang, “Structure and magnetism of $s = \frac{1}{2}$ kagome antiferromagnets $\text{NiCu}_3(\text{OH})_6\text{Cl}_2$ and $\text{CoCu}_3(\text{OH})_6\text{Cl}_2$,” *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **25**, 026003 (2012).
- [28] Y. Li, J. Fu, Z. Wu, and Q. Zhang, “Transition-metal distribution in kagome antiferromagnet $\text{CoCu}_3(\text{OH})_6\text{Cl}_2$ revealed by resonant x-ray diffraction,” *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **570**, 37–41 (2013).
- [29] Y. Li, B. Pan, S. Li, W. Tong, L. Ling, Z. Yang, J. Wang, Z. Chen, Z. Wu, and Q. Zhang, “Gapless quantum spin liquid in the $s = 1/2$ anisotropic kagome antiferromagnet

- ZnCu₃(OH)₆SO₄,” New J. Phys. **16**, 093011 (2014).
- [30] Y. Shimizu, K. Miyagawa, K. Kanoda, M. Maesato, and G. Saito, “Spin liquid state in an organic mott insulator with a triangular lattice,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 107001 (2003).
 - [31] T. Itou, A. Oyamada, S. Maegawa, M. Tamura, and R. Kato, “Quantum spin liquid in the spin-1/2 triangular antiferromagnet EtMe₃Sb[Pd(dmit)₂]₂,” Phys. Rev. B **77**, 104413 (2008).
 - [32] T. Moriya, “New mechanism of anisotropic superexchange interaction,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **4**, 228 (1960).
 - [33] A. Zorko, S. Nellutla, J. Van Tol, L.C. Brunel, F. Bert, F. Duc, J.-C. Trombe, M.A. De Vries, A. Harrison, and P. Mendels, “Dzyaloshinsky-Moriya anisotropy in the spin-1/2 kagome compound ZnCu₃(OH)₆Cl₂,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **101**, 026405 (2008).
 - [34] S.T. Bramwell, S.R. Giblin, S. Calder, R. Aldus, D. Prabhakaran, and T. Fennell, “Measurement of the charge and current of magnetic monopoles in spin ice,” Nature **461**, 956–959 (2009).
 - [35] F.L. Pratt, P.J. Baker, S.J. Blundell, T. Lancaster, S. Ohira-Kawamura, C. Baines, Y. Shimizu, K. Kanoda, I. Watanabe, and G. Saito, “Magnetic and non-magnetic phases of a quantum spin liquid,” Nature **471**, 612–616 (2011).
 - [36] *See Supplemental Material for detailed information about experimental procedures.*
 - [37] A.D. Hillier, P.J.C. King, S.P. Cottrell, and J.S. Lord, “The musr user guide,” ISIS Facility, STFC, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, UK (2005).
 - [38] A. Keren, J.S. Gardner, G. Ehlers, A. Fukaya, E. Segal, and Y.J. Uemura, “Dynamic properties of a diluted pyrochlore cooperative paramagnet (Tb_pY_{1-p})₂Ti₂O₇,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 107204 (2004).
 - [39] Y.J. Uemura, T. Yamazaki, D.R. Harshman, M. Senba, and E.J. Ansaldo, “Muon-spin relaxation in AuFe and CuMn spin glasses,” Phys. Rev. B **31**, 546 (1985).
 - [40] Y.J. Uemura, A. Keren, K. Kojima, L.P. Le, G.M. Luke, W.D. Wu, Y. Ajiro, T. Asano, Y. Kuriyama, M. Mekata, *et al.*, “Spin fluctuations in frustrated kagomé lattice system SrCr₈Ga₄O₁₉ studied by muon spin relaxation,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **73**, 3306 (1994).
 - [41] A.T. Ogielski, “Dynamics of three-dimensional ising spin glasses in thermal equilibrium,” Phys. Rev. B **32**, 7384 (1985).
 - [42] I.A. Campbell, A. Amato, F.N. Gyax, D. Herlach, A. Schenck, R. Cywinski, and S.H. Kilcoyne, “Dynamics in canonical spin glasses observed by muon spin depolarization,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **72**, 1291 (1994).
 - [43] A. Keren, G. Bazalitsky, I. Campbell, and J.S. Lord, “Probing exotic spin correlations by muon spin depolarization measurements with applications to spin glass dynamics,” Phys. Rev. B **64**, 054403 (2001).
 - [44] D. Bono, P. Mendels, G. Collin, N. Blanchard, F. Bert, A. Amato, C. Baines, and A.D. Hillier, “ μ SR study of the quantum dynamics in the frustrated $s = \frac{3}{2}$ kagomé bilayers,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 187201 (2004).
 - [45] E. Kermarrec, P. Mendels, F. Bert, R.H. Colman, A.S. Wills, P. Strobel, P. Bonville, A. Hillier, and A. Amato, “Spin-liquid ground state in the frustrated kagome antiferromagnet MgCu₃(OH)₆Cl₂,” Phys. Rev. B **84**, 100401 (2011).
 - [46] B. Fåk, E. Kermarrec, L. Messio, B. Bernu, C. Lhuillier, F. Bert, P. Mendels, B. Koteswararao, F. Bouquet, J. Ollivier, *et al.*, “Kapellasite: A kagome quantum spin liquid with competing interactions,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 037208 (2012).
 - [47] L. Clark, J.C. Orain, F. Bert, M.A. De Vries, F.H. Aidoudi, R. E. Morris, P. Lightfoot, J.S. Lord, M.T.F. Telling, P. Bonville, *et al.*, “Gapless spin liquid ground state in the $s = \frac{1}{2}$ vanadium oxyfluoride kagome antiferromagnet [NH₄]₂[C₇H₁₄N][V₇O₆F₁₈],” Phys. Rev. Lett. **110**, 207208 (2013).
 - [48] M. Gomilšek, M. Klanjšek, M. Pregelj, H. Luetkens, Y. Li, Q.M. Zhang, and A. Zorko, “ μ SR insight into the impurity problem in quantum kagome antiferromagnets,” arXiv:1604.02916.
 - [49] M. Gomilšek, M. Klanjšek, M. Pregelj, F.C. Coomer, H. Luetkens, O. Zaharko, T. Fennell, Y. Li, Q.M. Zhang, and A. Zorko, “Instabilities of spin-liquid states in a quantum kagome antiferromagnet,” Phys. Rev. B **93**, 060405 (2016).
 - [50] A. Avella and F. Mancini, *Strongly correlated systems: numerical methods*, Vol. 176 (Springer Science & Business Media, 2013).
 - [51] P.C. Hohenberg and B.I. Halperin, “Theory of dynamic critical phenomena,” Rev. Mod. Phys. **49**, 435 (1977).
 - [52] T. McMullen and E. Zaremba, “Positive-muon spin depolarization in solids,” Phys. Rev. B **18**, 3026 (1978).
 - [53] M. Hermele, M. P. A. Fisher, and L. Balents, “Pyrochlore photons: The $U(1)$ spin liquid in a $s = \frac{1}{2}$ three-dimensional frustrated magnet,” Phys. Rev. B **69**, 064404 (2004).
 - [54] L. Savary and L. Balents, “Coulombic quantum liquids in spin-1/2 pyrochlores,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 037202 (2012).
 - [55] P. A. Lee, “From high temperature superconductivity to quantum spin liquid: progress in strong correlation physics,” Rep. Prog. Phys. **71**, 012501 (2008), arXiv:0708.2115.
 - [56] C. P. Nave and P. A. Lee, “Transport properties of a spinon Fermi surface coupled to a $U(1)$ gauge field,” Phys. Rev. B **76**, 235124 (2007).
 - [57] T.-K. Ng and P. A. Lee, “Power-law conductivity inside the Mott gap: Application to κ -(BEDT-TTF)₂Cu₂(CN)₃,” Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 156402 (2007).
 - [58] Y.-F. Ma and T.-K. Ng, “Surface plasmons and reflectance of $U(1)$ spin liquid states with large spinon Fermi surfaces,” Phys. Rev. B **91**, 075106 (2015).
 - [59] Y.-D. Li, X. Wang, and G. Chen, “An anisotropic spin model of strong spin-orbit-coupled triangular antiferromagnets,” arXiv:1512.02151.